United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,128	10/18/2005	Susumu Kobayashi	F-8789	1361
	7590 07/05/2007 O HAMBURG LLP		EXAMINER	
122 EAST 42ND STREET			TRAN, HANH VAN	
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, N	NY 10168		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	110100		3637	
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/550,128	KOBAYASHI, SUSUMU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hanh V. Tran	3637			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2005.					
. —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 September 2005</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Applicat Irity documents have been receiv In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/550,128 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Office Action on the Merits from the examiner in charge of this application.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes legal phraseology such as "present invention", and is more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,010,200 to Hays.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,128 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Hays discloses a rail fixing part structure comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Fig 2-5, a metal rail 26slidably supporting a synthetic resin drawer 10 housed in a body, said metal rail fixed to said drawer 10, a flange provided at said rail, said flange extending toward said drawer 10, ribs 30 provided at a side surface of said drawer, said ribs vertically sandwiching said flange, a screw-in part provided at said drawer being connected to said flange so that said flange is sandwich between said ribs.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Salice, Marley, Henriott et al, King, Benbow, Lautenschlager, and Reinhardt all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,128

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVT

June 25, 2007

Hanh V. Tran

Art Unit 3637